

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/076,514	02/19/2002	Ludwig Volkel	52203	3431
	7:	590 08/04/2003			
Herbert B. Keil KEIL & WEINKAUF 1101 Connecticut Ave., N.W.				EXAMINER	
				YOUNG, MICAH PAUL	
	Washington, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1615 DATE MAILED: 08/04/2003	Ģ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
	10/076,514	VOLKEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Micah-Paul Young	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 </u> ∧	May 2003					
	is action is non-final.					
, <u> </u>		osecution as to the morits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b)□ objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	ammer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(a) or (t).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		M-				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/076,514

Art Unit: 1615

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Acknowledgment of Papers Received: Extension of time and Amendment/Response dated 5/22/03

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blackett et al (USPN 2,774,759) in view of Klein et al (USPN 2,870,198), both in further view of Spires (USPN 4,394,377). The claims are drawn to a crystalline choline abscorbate. The claims recite that the choline abscorbate is free from water of crystallization. The claims are also drawn to a process of making the choline comprising reacting ascorbic acid with trimethylamine and ethylene dioxide at a temperature between -10°C and 40°C. The claims also recite specific diffraction characteristics of the crystalline choline abscorbate. The claims go one to recite possible uses and embodiments for the choline abscorbate.

Blackett discloses a choline abscorbate produced by reacting trimethylamine and ethylene oxide at 30°C (col. 1, lin. 15 – col. 3, lin. 13). The choline abscorbate has its water content removed through vacuum (Examples). The choline salts can be isolated by conventional means

Application/Control Number: 10/076,514

Art Unit: 1615

(col. 2, lin. 56-60). Though silent to the effect crystallization is well-known and conventional means of isolating a salt, as seen in Klein.

Klein discloses choline salts, which are crystallized at relatively low temperatures (col. 2, lin. 61 – 68). The crystals are processed with trimethylamine and ethylene oxide at temperatures below 40 degrees Celsius (examples). The reference acknowledges that the greatest purity for the choline salts is achieved through the crystallization process described therein (col. 3, lin. 12 – 43). Though silent to the effect, it would be well within the level of ordinary skill in the art to apply these processing steps to the ascorbic acid salt of choline, specifically the choline of Blackett.

With regard to claims 3-5 which recite the diffraction characteristics of the compound, it is the position of the examiner that these characteristics would be inherent to the compound recited in the art. Presented here is a crystalline choline abscorbate free from water of crystallization, and processed from reacting ascorbic acid, trimethylamine and ethylene oxide, at a temperature below  $40^{\circ}$ C. Barring a showing of unexpected results or evidence to the contrary it is the position of the examiner that the compounds of the present invention and the compound recited by the art are identical, hence having identical properties including diffraction characteristics. The diffraction information claimed can be determined through routine experimentation by artisans of ordinary skill and do not impart patentability on the formulation.

Also the Office does not have the facilities for examining and comparing applicant's product with the product of the prior art in order to establish that the product of the prior art does not possess the same material structural and functional characteristics of the claimed product. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, the burden is upon the applicant to prove that the

Application/Control Number: 10/076,514 Page 4

Art Unit: 1615

claimed products are functionally different than those taught by the prior art and to establish patentable differences. *See Ex parte Phillips*, 28 U.S.P.Q.2d 1302, 1303 (PTO Bd. Pat. App. & Int. 1993), *Ex parte Gray*, 10 USPQ2d 1922, 1923 (PTO Bd. Pat. App. & Int.) and *In re Best*, 562 F.2d 1252, 195 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977).

With regard to claims 10 and 11, it is well known that all choline acid salts have a utility as dietary supplements, as seen by Spire (USPN 4,394,377). Spires discloses embodiments where choline abscorbate and other salts are incorporated into pharmaceutical formulations and feed preparations (col. 4, lin. 28 - 35; col. 5, lin. 1 - 18). It would be obvious to include the particles attainable through the process of Klein into a formulation of Spire since they would have improved purity.

With these things in mind it would have been obvious to a skilled artisan to combine the teachings of the references. It would have been obvious to follow the suggestion of Blackett to isolate the choline salts by conventional means including the crystallization of Klein in order to improve the purity of the formulation. It would have been obvious to include the crystals into a preparation as described by Spire, in order to deliver a purer choline salts preparation to those in need. It would have been obvious to combine the teachings and suggestions of the art with an expected result of a dietary supplement comprising a purer choline abscorbate.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/076,514 Page 5

Art Unit: 1615

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Micah-Paul Young whose telephone number is 703-308-7005.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7648 for regular

communications and 703-746-7648 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1234.

Micah-Paul Young Examiner Art Unit 1615

MP Young August 3, 2003

> THURWAN K. PAGE WPER<del>KISOPY</del> PATEKT EXAMINEN TFOHWOLOGY OF WEB 1600